



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,249	03/23/2004	Thomas Mackewitz	54392	1775

7590 03/25/2005

Herbert B. Keil
KEIL & WEINKAUF
1350 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

EXAMINER

WITHERSPOON, SIKARL A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1621

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<p align="center">Office Action Summary</p>	<p>Application No.</p> <p align="center">10/806,249</p>	<p>Applicant(s)</p> <p align="center">MACKEWITZ ET AL.</p>	
	<p>Examiner</p> <p align="center">Sikarl A. Witherspoon</p>	<p>Art Unit</p> <p align="center">1621</p>	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 12, 13, 17 and 18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5-11, 19 and 20 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/18/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group 1, claims 1 to 11, and new claims 17-20, in the reply filed on December 9, 2004 is acknowledged. The examiner has herein withdrawn the restriction requirement, thereby rendering applicants' traversal moot. All of the pending claims have been examined together.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 12, 13, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breikss et al (US 5,710,344) in view of Guram et al (US 6,521,793).

The instant claims are drawn to a process for hydroformylating ethylenically unsaturated compounds in the presence of a catalyst comprising a complex of a transition group metal with ligands each having a phosphorus group, and at least one functional group capable of forming intermolecular covalent bonds, wherein the complex has ligands that are dimerized, and wherein the distance between phosphorus atoms is no more than 5 Angstroms.

Breikss et al teach a process for making linear aldehydes by hydroformylation of ethylenically unsaturated compounds in the presence of a catalyst system comprising a

Art Unit: 1621

Group VIII metal and a bidentate organic ligand (abstract). The ligand is a compound represented by any of formulas (1) to (3).

The catalyst complex employed in the hydroformylation taught by Breikss et al does not contain a functional group as per the instant claims; however, Guram et al teach catalyst ligands and catalytic metal complexes comprising the same that contain electron withdrawing or donating groups, such as aryl, heteroaryl, silyl, amino, phosphino, , thio, etc., (col. 2, lines 10-17 and col. 8, lines 13-35). The complexes taught therein are useful in processes, such as hydroformylations.

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the a functional group as taught in the process of Guram et al in the catalyst complex taught by Breikss et al. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to do so since as taught by Guram et al, such functional groups bind to the metal centers of the catalyst complex, and remain bound therein, allowing for an opportunity to modify the steric, electronic, and chemical properties of the active metal centers (col. 1, lines 21-33) of the complex, and thus control the catalytic effectiveness of the active metal.

Claims 5-11, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikarl A. Witherspoon whose telephone number is 571-272-0649. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Sikarl A. Witherspoon
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600